having boiling points in the range $45.5 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$. The temperature-pressure dependence for I may be expressed by the equation log $P_{mm} = 8.17 - 1683/T$ °K. Vapor pressure data are as follows $(T, \ ^{\circ}\text{K};$ $P,$ mm): 257.5, 43.0; 273.0, 101.7; 280.5, 148.0, 287.0, 204.2; 292.3, 255.5; 296.5, 311.7; 300.5, 375.1; 303.5, 423.7; 309.2, 533.7; 311.8, 596.7; 314.8, 6563; 320.3, *802.8.* The molar heat of vaporization is 7.70 kcal and the Trouton constant is 24.2. The experimental molecular weight is 107.7 (calcd 106.5). I shows a single broad peak in the ¹⁹F nmr at ϕ -63.4 . The infrared spectrum (22 mm pressure) is as follows: 2253 (m), 1560 (m, doublet), 1170 (s, doublet), 1138 (s, doublet), 990-962 (vs, complex), 680 (m, doublet), 541 (w, triplet), 500 **(w,** doublet), 408 cm-I **(w).** Peaks were attributed to the following species in the mass spectrum: CN^+ , N_2^+ , CF^+ , Cl^+ (30%), CCN⁺, CCl⁺, (CN)₂⁺, N=CCl⁺, FN=CCN⁺ (100%), ClCCN⁺, FNCCl⁺, CF₂Cl⁺, N=CClCN⁺, FN=CClCN⁺ (93%). The parent, $FN=CCN^{+}$, and Cl^{+} were the three major peaks in the spectrum. All chlorine-containing fragments gave the correct isotopic peak ratios. Analysis of chlorine as AgCl and fluorine by specific ion electrode were run. *Anal.* Calcd for I: C1, 33.3; F, 17.8. Found: C1, 32.3; F, 18.4.

The temperature-pressure dependence for **I1** can be expressed by the equation $\log P_{\text{mm}} = 7.96 - 1621/T^{\circ}\text{K}$. Vapor pressure data are as follows: $(T, {}^{\circ}K; P, mm)$: 254.2, 38.3; 272.5, 100.2; 280.0, 149.7; 285, 184.4; 291, 253.7, 296.5, 312.0; 299.3,352.0; 302.0,397.0, 308.5,511.5; 3133,625.5, 319.7,779. Themolar heat of vaporization is 7.42 kcal and the Trouton constant is 23.3. The experimental molecular weight is 105.0 (calcd 106.5). **I1** shows a single broad peak in the ¹⁹F nmr at ϕ -69.0. The infrared spectrum (20 mm pressure) is as follows: 2249 (m), 1560 (w), 1200 **(w),** 1135 (s, doublet), 942 (s, triplet), 850 (w), 750 cm⁻¹ (w). The peaks in the mass spectrum were assigned to the following species: CN^+ , N_2^+ , CF^+ , Cl^+ (16%), CCN^+ , CCl⁺, N=CClCN⁺, FN=CClCN⁺ (47%). All isotopic fragments containing chlorine were found in the correct ratio. *Anal.* Calcd for 11: C1, 33.3; F, 17.8. Found: C1, 33.2; F, 17.0. CC1⁺, $(CN)_2$ ⁺, N=CC1⁺, FN=CCN⁺ (100%), ClCCN⁺, FN-

 N_2F_4 + Perhalogenated Acetones.— N_2F_4 (10 mmol) and (CF- $Cl₂$ ₂CO (excess) were photolyzed in the 2-1. vessel with a 450-W lamp using a Corex filter for 31 hr. The yield of NF2CFCl2 after purification was 30% . N₂F₄ (10 mmol) and CF₃C(O)CF₂Cl (1.83 g, 10.0 mmol) were irradiated in the 2-1. vessel through Vycor for 1 hr. The yield of pure $NF_{2}CF_{3}^{5}$ was 86 and 71% for $NF₂CF₂Cl₁$ 6

Results and Discussion

Tetrafluorohydrazine was found to react with sulfuryl chloride when irradiated in the gas phase with ultraviolet light of 2537 A giving difluoramidosulfuryl chloride and chlorine as the main products. The chloride, however, lacks the thermal stability of the fluoride in that it slowly decomposes to N_2F_4 , SO_2 , and Cl_2 at ambient temperature. As expected, $NF₂SO₂Cl$ reacts rapidly with mercury giving N_2F_4 and SO_2 as the volatile products. With bis(trifluoromethylthio)mercury, N_2F_4 and SO_2 are also obtained along with bis(trifluoromethy1) disulfide, while reaction with bromine fluorosulfate yields N_2F_4 , Br₂, and the mixed pyrosulfuryl derivative

CH₃CN $2NF_2SO_2Cl + (CF_3S)_2Hg$ $N_2F_4 + 2SO_2 + (CF_3S)_2 + HgCl_2$ $2NF_2SO_2Cl + 2BrSO_3F \longrightarrow N_2F_4 + Br_2 + 2FSO_2OSO_2Cl$

It is interesting to compare the products of the latter

reaction with those between $CF₈SO₂Cl$ and $BrOSO₂F^{7,8}$ where the C1-S bond is severed and a sulfur-oxygen bond results $(CF_3SO_2OSO_2F + BrCl)$ while the CF_3-S bond remains essentially intact. With NF_2SO_2Cl , the nitrogen-sulfur bond is fissioned by the positive bromine because of the greater electronegativity of the NF_2 group. NF_2Br , the BrCl analog, is unstable and decomposes to $Br_2 + N_2F_4$.

Dichlorocyanodifluoraminomethane was found to react slowly with mercury at room temperature to form roughly equal amounts of the fluorimino derivatives I and 11. The assignment of configuration has been made on the basis of comparison of ^{19}F data with similar known compounds.⁹ The chemical shift of the $=N-F$ fluorine was found to move successively downfield when chlorine or cyanide was substituted for the carbon fluorine, which is a result of the increasing paramagnetic contribution of these groups. **A** comparison of syn and anti isomers indicates that the greatest interaction is between anti groups. Thus, when fluorine is anti to fluorine, the shift is upfield relative to the syn configuration. The stronger interaction of anti groups is also exemplified by larger spin-spin coupling constants than those of the syn isomers. Based on the stronger anti interactions and the greater cyanide deshielding effect than the chlorine deshielding effect, the NF fluorine resonance in the isomer with the CN group anti to the fluorine was assigned to the resonance peak at ϕ -69.0.

Acknowledgments.--Fluorine research at the University of Idaho is sponsored by the National Science Foundation and the Office of Naval Research The HA-100 nmr spectrometer was funded in part by grants from the National Science Foundation and the Max C. Fleischmann Foundation. We thank Mr. Ron De-Marco and Mr. J. **A.** Johnson for mass spectra. Special thanks are due Dr. Kent Pullen, Boeing Co., for suggesting the $N_2F_4-SO_2Cl_2$ reaction.

(7) R E Noftle, **rbid, 7, 2167 (1968)**

(8) D. D. DesMarteau, *ibid.*, **7**, 434 (1968).

(9) W S Brey, Jr , and J B **Hynes** *Fiuovzne Chem Rev,* **2, 111 (1968)**

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GEORGIA 30601

The Photochemical Preparation of Metal-Metal Bonds. 11.' Some Tin-Manganese and Germanium-Manganese Systems

BY J. K. RUFF^

Received July 9, *1970*

A large number of manganese carbonyl derivatives in which manganese is bonded to a group IV metal of the general type $R_sMMn(CO)$ ₅ have been prepared and

⁽⁵⁾ K K PearsonandR D Dresner, *J Amer Chem* Soc , **84, 4743 (1962). (6)** J B **Hynes,** B C , Bishop and L **A** Bigelow, *Inovg Chem., 6,* **417 (1967)**

⁽¹⁾ Part I: J. K. Ruff,Inorg. *Chem., 6,* **1502 (1967)**

⁽²⁾ Alfred P. **Sloan Foundation Fellow, 1989-1971.**

studied in recent years.³⁻⁸ In general the preparation has involved either the nucleophilic displacement of a halide ion from R_3MX by the $Mn(CO)_5^-$ ion or the reaction of R_3SH with $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$. With the exception of the hydride π -C₅H₅Mn(CO)₂(H)SiCl₃, recently reported by Graham,⁹ no other group IV metal derivatives containing a π -cyclopentadienylmanganese dicarbonyl moiety are known. This is perhaps due to the lack of simple anion formation by π -cyclopentadienylmanganese tricarbonyl. However, Lewis bases react readily with π -cyclopentadienylmanganese tricarbonyl, especially when the mixture is irradiated.¹⁰⁻¹³ In general only monosubstitution occurs, except when certain ligands such as $(C_6H_5)_3P$ were employed.^{14,15} Since the anions $SnCl₃– and GeCl₃– behave as Lewis bases to$ ward the group VI metal carbonyls, $¹$ their interaction</sup> with π -C₅H₅Mn(CO)₃ and π -CH₃C₅H₄Mn(CO)₃ was investigated. Furthermore this reaction was extended to include $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ since it is known to undergo both mono- and disubstitution^{16,17} when treated with Lewis bases.

Reaction of the $SnCl₃^-$ and $GeCl₃^-$ anions with both the π -cyclopentadienyl derivatives was accomplished photochemically in tetrahydrofuran solution (THF) . Since the ligands are not stable in this solvent,¹ the unstable complex π -C₅H₅Mn(CO)₂·THF¹⁸ was initially generated in the absence of the ligands. After completion of photolysis the ligands were allowed to displace the THF $(M = Sn \text{ or } Ge)$ ted in the absence of the ligands. After come

i photolysis the ligands were allowed to disp

IF (M = Sn or Ge)
 $C_sH_sMn(CO)_3 + THF \xrightarrow{h\nu} C_sH_sMn(CO)_2 \cdot THF$
 $n(CO)_3 \cdot THF + MCl_2 = \dots$

$$
C_{\delta}H_{\delta}Mn(CO)_{\delta} + THF \xrightarrow{h\nu} C_{\delta}H_{\delta}Mn(CO)_{2} \cdot THF
$$

$$
C_{\delta}H_{\delta}Mn(CO)_{2} \cdot THF + MCl_{\delta}^{-} \longrightarrow
$$

 $C_5H_5Mn(CO)_2MCl_3^- + THF$

Only monosubstituted products were formed and they were isolated as the air-stable, yellow-orange tetraphenylarsonium salts.

Contrary to the results obtained in the above photolysis, mixtures of $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ and either the SnCl₃⁻ or $GeCl₃^-$ ions in methylene chloride produced monosubstituted derivatives upon irradiation¹⁹ isolated as the air-stable, yellow-orange tetrap

insonium salts.

ontrary to the results obtained in the above phot

, mixtures of $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ and either the $SnCl_3^{-1}$
 Cl_3^- ions in methylene chloride produced monos

$$
Mn_2(CO)_{10} + MnCl_3 - \frac{h\nu}{CH_2Cl_2} \text{ Mn}_2(CO)_9 MCl_3^- + CO
$$

No evidence was found for the formation of a disubstituted product even in the presence of excess anion.

- (7) H. C. Clark and J. H. Tsai, *ibid.,* **6,** 1407 (1966).
- *(8)* H. C. Clark, J. *D.* Cotton, and J. H. Tsai, *ibid.,* **6,** 1528 (1966).
- (9) W. Jetz and **Vi'. A.** G. Graham, *J. Amev. Chem.* Soc., **91,** 3375 (1969).
- (10) W. Strohmeier and J. F. Guttenberger, *Bev.,* **97,** 1256 (1964).
- (11) W. Strohmeier and J. F. Guttenberber, *ibid.,* **97,** 1871 (1964).
- **(12)** R. *S.* h'yholm, S. S. Sandhur, and **A?.** H. B. Stiddard, *J. Chem. Soc.,* 5916 (1963).
	- (13) W. Strohmeier and K. Gerlach, *Z. Naturforsch.B*, 19, 353 (1964).
	- (14) W. Strohmeier, C. Barbeau, and D. von Hobe, *Bev.,* **96,** 3254 (1963).
	- (15) C. Barbeau, *Can. J. Chem.,* **46,** 161 (1967).
	- (16) **A.** G. Osborne and M. H. B. Stiddard, *J. Chem.* Soc., 634 (1964).
	- (17) H. Wawersik and F. Basolo, *Chem. Commun.,* 366 (1966).
- (18) E. 0. Fischer and M. Herberhold, *Ezpevienta, Suppl.,* **9, 259** (1904).

This is in contrast to the photochemical reaction of $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ with excess triphenylphosphine¹⁵ and is perhaps due to repulsion of like-charged ions. However the thermal reaction produced the monoaxial-substituted compound $Mn_2(CO)_9(C_6H_5)_3P^{17}$ As in the case of the π -cyclopentadienyl derivatives the anions were isolated as air-stable, yellow-orange tetraphenylarsonium salts.

The yield of complexes in both types of reactions was quite low and attempts to optimize it by varying the ratio of reactants or solvent were not successful. The complexes obtained were characterized by analysis, infrared, and conductivity studies. In every case only 1 : 1 electrolytes were formed indicating that disubstitution by MCI_3 ⁻ ligands did not occur. Thus an average value of the equivalent conductance of approximately 70 cm2/(ohm equiv) was obtained, which is within the expected range.²⁰

The infrared spectra of the π -cyclopentadienyl derivatives are completely compatible with monosubstitution. The decrease in the $\nu_{\rm CO}$ frequencies over those in the starting material has been observed for a large number of monosubstituted compounds, π -C₅H₅Mn(CO)₂L. In fact for compounds in which L is an oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur ligand or $(C_6H_5)_3E$ (where $E = P$, As, or Sb) the observed *vco* frequencies lie in a relatively narrow range $(1930-1938$ and $1850-1866$ cm⁻¹) indicating a lack of sensitivity of these frequencies to the nature of the ligand.²¹ The observed $\nu_{\rm CO}$ frequencies of the complexes prepared in this study are close to the above ranges except that the high-frequency band falls below 1930 cm^{-1} . This may in part be attributable to the presence of a negative charge on the complex. The slight differences found in the *vco* frequencies for the tin and germanium derivatives does not allow a distinction between the π -acceptor ability of the ligands. This was also found to be true for complexes formed with the group VI carbony1s.l

The infrared spectra of the $Mn_2(CO)_9MCl_3^-$ anions are more interesting. They confirm monosubstitution and furthermore suggest that substitution occurs in an axial position since all five of the predicted bands **(3 A'** $+ 2 E$) are observed. Also the spectra of these anions are in good agreement with that of the known monoaxial-substituted $Mn_2(CO)_{9}P(C_6H_5)_{3}$ (e.g., ν_{CO} 2098 (s), 2015 (s), 1997 (s), 1969 (w), 1937 cm⁻¹),¹⁷ except that some of the *vco* frequencies of the anions are shifted to slightly higher frequencies. This is unexpected in view of the negative charge on these complexes and suggests that the SnCl₃⁻ and GeCl₃⁻ ions are much better π acceptors than $(C_6H_5)_3P$. No such difference was noted with the group VI metal carbonyl complexes.¹

Experimental Section

Materials.-The tetraphenylarsonium salts of the $SnCl₃^-$ and $GeCl₃$ anions were prepared by the literature method.²² The

⁽³⁾ R. *D.* Gorsich, *J. Anzev. Chem.* Soc., **84, 2486** (1962).

⁽⁴⁾ J. **A.** J. Thompson and **W. A.** G. Graham, *Inorp. Chem.,* 6, 1365 (1967). (5) **W.** Jetz, P. B. Simons, J. **A.** J. Thompson, and **W. A.** G. Graham, *ihid.,* **6,** 2217 (1966).

⁽⁶⁾ J. **A.** J. Thompson and W. **A.** G. Graham, *ibid.,* **6,** 1875 (1967).

⁽¹⁹⁾ Photolysis of π -CsHsMn(CO)a in CH2C12 resulted in rapid decomposition of the manganese compound and no complexes could he isolated even when the Lewis base **was** present.

⁽²⁰⁾ K. D. Feltham and R. G. Hayter, *J. Chem.* Soc., 4587 (1964).

⁽²¹⁾ W. Strohmeier, J. F. Guttenberger, and H. Hellmann, *Z. Natur fovsch. B,* **19,** 353 (1964).

⁽²²⁾ M. P. Johnson, D. F. Shriver, and S. **A.** Shriver, *J. Ameu. Chem. SOC.,* **88,** 1588 (1966).

TABLE I

samples of $C_5H_5Mn(CO)_3$ and $CH_3C_5H_4Mn(CO)_3$ were generously donated by Ethyl Corp. Dimanganese decacarbonyl was obtained from Strem Chemical Co.

Preparation of $(C_6H_5)_4AsC_5H_5Mn(CO)_2MCl_3.$ Since both the tin and germanium derivatives were prepared in the same manner, only one preparation will be described. A 0.70-g sample of π -C_bH₆Mn(CO)_s dissolved in 100 ml of THF was irradiated under an inert atmosphere for 2 hr with a GE AH4 floodlamp. To the red solution a 0.6-g sample of $(C_6H_5)_4AsSnCl_8$ was added. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was extracted with a mixture containing 20 ml of CH_2Cl_2 and 100 ml of diethyl ether. The extract was filtered and pentane was slowly added to the filtrate. An orangeyellow solid, 0.21 g, was obtained.

This same procedure was used to prepare the corresponding $methyl-\pi$ -cyclopentadienyl derivatives. See Table I for details.

Preparation of $(C_6H_5)_4$ **AsMn₂(CO)₀MCl₃. Since the prepara**tions of both the tin and the germanium compounds were identical, only one will be described. A mixture containing 0.63 g of $(C_6H_5)_4AsSnCl_3$ and 0.69 g of $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ in 50 ml of CH_2Cl_2 was irradiated under an inert atmosphere with the same lamp described above. After approximately 0.5 hr some solid had formed in the reactor. This was removed by filtration and the irradiation continued for 1 hr more. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was dissolved in 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂. Diethyl ether, 60 ml, was added and the mixture was filtered. Pentane was added slowly to the filtrate (too rapid addition results in oiling) and a 0.28-g sample of product was obtained. See Table I for details.

Infrared Spectra.-The infrared spectra were taken on CH_2Cl_2 solutions (concentrations about 10 mg/ml) using a Perkin-Elmer Model 621 spectrometer which had been calibrated with indene. See Table **11.**

TABLE I1

INFRARED STRETCHING FREQUENCIES FOR THE NEW COMPOUNDS

Conductivity Measurements.-The conductivities of the salts were determined in nitromethane solutions using a Yellow Springs Instrument Co. Ind. Model 31 conductivity bridge. The cell constant of the cell employed was 0.1792 and the specific conductivity of the nitromethane was 5.70×10^{-7} ohm⁻¹ cm⁻¹. The anion, concentration *(M),* and equivalent conductance $(cm²/ohm$ equiv) for the material prepared are as follows: $C_5H_5Mn(CO)_2SnCl_3^-, 1.052 \times 10^{-8}, 70.2; C_5H_5Mn(CO)_2GeCl_3^-,$ $1.117~\times~10^{-\mathsf{s}},~73.1;~~\mathrm{CH_{8}C_{6}H_{4}Mn(CO)_{2}SnCl_{8}^{-},}~~0.989~\times~10^{-\mathsf{s}},$ 65.8; CH₃C₅H₄Mn(CO)₂GeCl₃⁻, 1.20 \times 10⁻³, 75.7; Mn₂(CO)₉- $SnCl₃^-$, 1.002×10^{-3} , 67.1 ; $Mn₂(CO)₉GeCl₃^-$, 1.071×10^{-3} , 71.0.

Acknowledgment.-The author wishes to acknowledge the support of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

CONTRIBUTION **FROM** THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, WILLIAMS COLLEGE, WILLIAMSTOWN, MASSACHUSETTS 01267

Magnetochemical Investigation of Stereochemical Change for the Cobalt(I1) Ion in Solution

BY J. MARDIROSSIAN¹ AND J. F. SKINNER^{*2}

Received July 13,1970

In the crystalline state or in solution, the $\text{cobalt}(II)$ ion is found most frequently in one of two ligand environments : four-coordinate tetrahedral or six-coordinate octahedral. In the former, the ion possesses an orbitally nondegenerate electronic ground state, 4A_2 , while in the latter (weak-field limit), it possesses a threefold degenerate ground state, ${}^4T_{1g}$. The magnetic moment would therefore be expected to contain a larger orbital contribution for the ion in the octahedral field than in the tetrahedral field. **A** considerable amount of work has verified this correlation of the magnetic moment with the geometrical structure for crystalline $\text{cobalt}(II)$ compounds.³⁻⁶ However, with the exception of some early work, prior to the development of the ligand field theory and a few recent measurements in single solvents, the authors are unaware of any systematic magnetochemical study of stereochemical change for the cobalt (II) ion in solution. In the present investigation, the magnetic moment of this ion has been determined in water as a function of added chloride or thiocyanate ion and in water-dimethylformamide solutions as a function of solvent composition.

Experimental Section

The magnetic moments, determined by the Gouy method at 25.0 ± 0.5 in a Pyrex tube (25.00 ml) calibrated with nickel chloride solutions,⁷ are reproducible to better than ± 0.02 BM. The diamagnetic gram-susceptibilities of methanol and dimethylformamide (DMF) were determined to be -0.640×10^{-6}

(1) This **work** *is* based in part on the honors thesis of J, M., 1968.

- **(2)** To whom correspondence should be directed.
- **(3)** F. A. Cotton, D. M. I,. Goodgame, M. Goodgame, and **A.** Sacco, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 83, 4157 (1961), and previous papers in the series.
	- **(4)** F. A. Cotton and R. H. Holm, *ibid.,* **82,** 2983 (1960).
	- (5) N. S. Gill and **12.** *S.* h-yholm, *J. Chem.* Soc., 3997 (1959).
	- **(6)** B. Chiswell and *S.* E. Livingstone, *ibid.,* 97 (1960).

⁽⁷⁾ H. R. Nettleton and S. Sugden, *Pioc. Roy.* Soc., *London,* **173,** 313 (1939).